

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

FRIDAY, THE 22ND JUNE 2007 / 1ST ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 19260 of 2007(P)  
-----

PETITIONERS:  
-----

1. P.V.JAYALAL, AGED 40 YEARS,  
S/O K.VIJAYAN, HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHER  
(PHYSICS), ARAVUKAD HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL,  
PUNNAPRA, ALAPPUZHA-4.
2. C.C.CHANDRAMOHANAN,  
HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHER(CHEMISTRY)(JUNIOR)  
ARAVUKAD HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL,  
PUNNAPRA, ALAPPUZHA-4.

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED  
SRI.K.E.HAMZA

RESPONDENTS:  
-----

1. THE STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE  
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,  
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,  
SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.
2. THE DIRECTOR OF HIGHER SECONDARY  
EDUCATION, HOUSING BOARD BUILDINGS,  
SANTHI NAGAR, TRIVANDRUM.
3. SRI. P.T.SUMITHRAN,  
MANAGER, ARAVUKAD HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL,  
PUNNAPRA, ALAPPUZHA-4.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.SUDHA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 22/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:

**A.K.BASHEER, J.**

-----  
W.P.(C)No.19260 OF 2007  
-----

Dated this the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of June, 2007

**JUDGMENT**

Petitioners are stated to be working as Higher Secondary School Teachers (Junior) in Physics and Chemistry respectively. Their grievance is that they are taking classes for more than 25 periods in a week in the school. Still they are forced to continue as Higher Secondary School Teachers (Junior).

2. I do not propose to deal with the above contention at this stage, in view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners at the Bar. It is submitted by him that petitioners had preferred Exts.P4 and P5 representations highlighting all the relevant aspects of the issue before respondent no.1. The limited prayer is to issue a direction to respondent no.1 to take an appropriate decision on those representations. Learned Government Pleader submits that if Exts.P4 and P5 have been preferred as contended by the petitioners, a decision thereon will be taken without any delay.

3. In the above facts and circumstances, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to consider and pass orders on Exts.P4 and P5 strictly on their merit and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Needless to mention that petitioners shall be afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken in the matter. Petitioners shall produce a copy of the writ petition along with a certified copy of the judgment before respondent no.1 for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

**A.K.BASHEER, JUDGE**

jes